036

037

038

039

040

041

042

043

044

045

046

047

048

049

000

001

SinK-DaT: Reducing age gap through Sino-Korean Detector and Translator

Mina Huh 20160697_MinaHuh SeokJun Kim 20160793_SeokJunKim Jeongeon Park 20160811_JeongeonPark 050

051

052

053

054

055

056

057

058

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

069

070

071

072

073

074

075

076

077

078

079

080

081

082

083

084

085

086

087

088

089

090

091

092

093

094

095

096

097

098

099

Juhoon Lee 20160832_JuhoonLee

Abstract

We introduce a new Korean language model called **SinK-DaT**, the **Sin**o-Korean (Sin-Ko) **D**etector **a**nd **T**ranslator. SinK-DaT provides the correct meaning and Chinese character representations of the "difficult" Sin-Ko word based on context from a given Korean text. Experiments on old Korean news articles show that the model can not only detect most Sin-Ko words, but also distinguish difficult ones among the detected Sin-Ko words and thus, supply the most suitable definitions to the users. As a result, Sink-DaT helps the young Korean generation in comprehending difficult corpora.

1 Introduction

Sino-Korean (Sin-Ko) words are Hanja-based words incorporated to the Korean language. Such words are widely used in many Korean corpora for their ability to contain complex meanings in just few letters. Despite its wide usage, especially among the older generation, Hanja is seldom taught in the modern curriculum, resulting in an unbridgeable linguistic gap between the younger and the older generations. Understanding each other's language is vital in reducing the generational and domain language gap. Thus, we constructed a model that detects and defines difficult Sin-Ko words to aid reading comprehension and to expedite language acquisition for the younger generation.

2 Approach

There are three main steps for developing SinK-DaT: 1) Detecting Sin-Ko words, 2) Scoring their difficulty levels, and 3) Showing their appropriate definitions in the context.

To detect the Sin-Ko words within a sentence, we searched each word in an online dictionary, and treated it as a Sin-Ko word if a match was found. The underlying heuristic assumes Sin-Ko and pure Korean semes rarely share the same form. Even if a pure Korean word was misidentified, it would be eliminated in later steps as the difficulty and the context would not be mapped correctly.

Hyunchang Oh

20170410_HyunchangOh

To construct the 'difficult' vocabulary set in a sentence, we used a rule-based approach. As the degree of difficulty is subjective to reader's proficiency levels, we set the target group as TOPIK L3-L4 learners, whose evaluation criteria include the ability to understand easy parts of news broadcasts and newspapers. We used three different metrics to measure the difficulty of each word. First, we counted the number of Synsets using KorLex. The number signifies different meanings a word can have, with a greater number implying higher complexity. Second, we analyzed the level of each Hanja character in a word by referring to the official reading level classification from the Hanja Education Research Institute. If the levels were low, meaning they are difficult and rarely used, we scored the difficulty as high. Lastly, we compared the number of occurrences of Sin-Ko words within basic and advanced corpora, which were elementary school textbooks and newspaper articles respectively. In addition to the corpora comparison, TOPIK vocabulary guide was used to filter easy words. The weighted scores from the three metrics were combined to rank the difficulties of the Sin-Ko words.

Sin-Ko words tend to have many homonyms, making it difficult to identify the correct definition. To perform word sense disambiguation, we constructed an n-gram language model to compare the contexts of each Sin-Ko word in the sentence and its definitions. To compare the context of each word, its n-gram probability scores were calculated with the n-grams created from each of the possible definitions to identify the definition with the highest probability of being the correct meaning.

| 100 | Precision | Recall | Accuracy | F-score |
|-----|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| 101 | 0.538 | 0.560 | 0.439 | 0.549 |
| | | | | |

Table 1: Model's Sin-Ko Detection Result.

3 Experiment

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

For the training dataset, more than 7K sentences were retrieved from Chosun Ilbo News Library using random articles from 1990. The model first tokenized raw text with Kkma PoS tagging function of KoNLPy, with auxiliary components removed based on the PoS tags. Then, each word was searched on NAVER's Hanja Dictionary. Tokens matching the Korean form of Sin-Ko word in the dictionary were regarded as potential Sin-Ko words. Using the newspaper sentences, we built an n-gram (n=3) model using NLTK to compare the context of words with n-grams created from possible definitions from NAVER's Hanja Dictionary. To represent an easy corpora, public elementary textbooks provided by the Korean textbook publication Kyohaksa were used along with other tools and classifications as noted in section 2. To represent the advanced corpora, news article sentences from above was used.

> The evaluation consists of three parts - 1) Detection of Sin-Ko words, 2) Detection of difficult Sin-Ko words, and 3) Mapping of difficult words to their meanings.

For 1) Detection of Sin-Ko words and 3) Mapping of words to meanings in context, we used 500 sentences from the Chosun Ilbo news articles as the test set. The model-detected words were compared with manually tagged words to calculate the performance, and for finding meanings, we calculated the accuracy, also using manual tagging.

We used *crowdsourcing* to evaluate 2) Detection of difficult Sin-Ko words. We surveyed 12 middle school students for their proficiency on the Sin-Ko words. Four paragraphs with roughly 25 sentences each from the *Chosun Ilbo* news articles were used for the survey. The students were asked to rate the given Sin-Ko word on a 3 point scale – 1) Never heard/seen, 2) Seen but don't know the meaning, 3) Seen and can explain the meaning. We then calculated the average score of each word.

4 Result

Sin-Ko Word Detection The results are shown in Table 1. Recall was higher than the precision, which shows that the existing Sin-Ko words were correctly identified, even if some pure Korean words were misidentified. Considering the fact that each sentence contained on average ten Sin-Ko words and that a sentence was marked to be incorrect for even one wrong detection, the results are promising. 150 151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

Difficult Sin-Ko Word Detection The average rated score of the Sin-Ko words from the survey was 2.560, while that of the detected difficult words was 2.243. This shows that the model-detected difficult words were perceived to be more difficult than other Sin-Ko words.

Context-wise Definition Mapping The accuracy of the mapped definition of the difficult Sin-Ko words was 0.686. The majority of the difficult Sin-Ko word definitions were correctly identified given their context, but a room for improvement exists.

5 Discussion

Discussion & Limitation We have demonstrated that our model using a rule-based approach together with the data-driven model is effective in detecting and mapping definitions of difficult Sin-Ko words. As our project solves NLP problems in Korean, the accuracy of KoNLPy module influenced the performance of pre-processing. When PoS tags were mismatched, certain Sino-Koreans were undetected or annotated with wrong Sin-Ko words. One of the scoring criteria in detecting difficult words is the difficulty of constituent Hanjas. While this is true for most of the cases, some easy words (e.g. 여유: 餘裕) have difficult Hanjas while some difficult words (e.g. 소실: 消失) have easy Hanjas.

Future Work We plan to add more criteria to detecting the difficult words and allow users to specifically choose the difficulty level of Sin-Ko words. This will develop our model into an essential tool for Sin-Ko learning for all generations.

6 Conclusion

We present **SinK-DaT**, a model that detects and translates difficult Sin-Ko words with appropriate context. Our major contribution is the analysis of the characteristic of Sin-Ko words distinguished from the pure Korean words and proposing various measures to score the level of difficulty of a word. By yielding context-aware definition of difficult Sin-Ko words, SinK-DaT helps the younger generation to advance to a wider range of corpora.

| 1 | 2 | 0 | C |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 5 |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | 8 |
| 1 | 2 | 0 | ĉ |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | С |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | ŝ |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | C |
| - | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| - | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | ŝ |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | C |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | ŝ |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | C |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| | | | |

Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. Jong Chul Park for teaching us how to tackle NLP problems as well as encouraging us during the whole process. We also thank the TAs of CS372, Huijee Lee, Soyeong Jeong, Hoyun Song, Eugene Jang, Euijun Hwang, Wonsuk Yang and Junseop Ji for assisting us and doing all the hard works. We also thank Chosun News for providing available archived resources.

Bibliographical References

- Dongjun Lee, Yubin Lim, and Ted "Taekyoung" Kwon. 2018. Morpheme-based Efficient Korean Word Embedding. Journal of KIISE, 45(5):444–450.
- Eunjeong L. Park, Sungzoon Cho. "KoNLPy: Korean natural language processing in Python", Proceedings of the 26th Annual Conference on Human & Cognitive Language Technology, Chuncheon, Korea, Oct 2014.

Gil-Ja So, Seung-Hee Lee, and Hyuk-Chul Kwon.
2011. Generalization of error decision rules in a grammar checker using Korean Word-Net, KorLex. The KIPS Transactions:PartB, 18B(6):405–414.

Hye-Jeong Song, Ji-Eun Chi, Yoon-Kyoung Lee, Ji Hye Yoon, Jong-Dae Kim, Chan-Young Park and Yu-Seop Kim. 2019. A Web Service for Evaluating the Level of Speech in Korean Applied Sciences. February.

Kevin P. Yancey, Yves Lepage. 2018. Korean L2
Vocabulary Prediction: Can a Large Annotated
Corpus be Used to Train Better Models for Predicting Unknown Words? Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language
Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2018) 438-445, May.

[한자 News.chosun.com. 2020. 문맹(漢字 벗어나자] "안중근 의사(義士), 文盲) 어 245 젊은층 '독해不能 떤 과목 진료했죠?"... 246 (한자어 뜻 몰라 문장 해독 못하는 혅 상)'심각. [online] Available at: <https: 247 //news.chosun.com/site/data/htmldir/ 248 2014/\04/22/2014042200992.html> 249

| Sanghyuk Choi, Taeuk Kim, Jinseok Seol, and | 250 |
|--|-----|
| Sang-Goo Lee. 2017. A Syllable-based Tech- | 251 |
| nique for Word Embeddings of Korean Words. | 252 |
| Proceedings of the First Workshop on Subword | 253 |
| and Character Level Models in NLP. | 254 |
| | 255 |
| Sungjoon Park, Jeongmin Byun, Sion Baek, | 256 |
| Yongseok Cho, and Alice Oh. 2018. Subword- | 257 |
| level Word Vector Representations for Korean. | 258 |
| Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the | 259 |
| Association for Computational Linguistics (Vol- | 260 |
| ume 1: Long Papers). | 261 |
| | 262 |
| T. J. Ostrand and M. J. Balcer. 1988. The category- | 263 |
| partition method for specifying and generating | 264 |
| fuctional tests. Communications of the ACM, | 265 |
| 31(6):676–686, January. | 266 |
| | 267 |
| | 268 |
| | 269 |
| Language References | 270 |
| | 271 |
| KorLex.http://korlex.pusan.ac.kr/ | 272 |
| | 273 |
| KoNLPy (Python package for natural language | 274 |
| processing of the Korean language). http:// | 275 |
| konlpy.org/ | 276 |
| | 277 |
| Test Of Proficiency in Korean https://www | 278 |
| topik go kr/ | 279 |
| | 280 |
| Comparation of Hanis Education Descende Institute | 281 |
| Corporation of Hanja Education Research Institute | 282 |
| http://new.hanja.net/ | 283 |
| | 284 |
| The Chosun Ilbo. https//www.news.chosun. | 285 |
| com/ | 286 |
| | 287 |
| 교학사 교과서. https://www.kyohak.co.kr/ | 288 |
| | 289 |
| 네이버 한자사전 (NAVER Hanja dictionary). | 290 |
| https://hanja.dict.naver.com/ | 291 |
| | 292 |
| 조서익보-라이브러리 https://powelibrary | 293 |
| $- \frac{1}{2} - $ | 294 |
| | 295 |
| 하가거즈느려시려 ㅋㅋㅋㅋ ㅋㅋㅋㅋㅋ | 296 |
| 빈시섬궁궁닉시범·nttps://www.hanja.ne.kr/ | 297 |
| | 298 |

299

A Appendix

| | 351 |
|---|-------------|
| 0. Raw Text | 352 |
| 오전 10시7분 증인선서 낭독으로 시작된 전 전대통령의 증언은 5공비리 광주문제에 관한 답변 속에 저녁까지 계속됐다 그러나 | 353 |
| 증언도중 증언내용이 부실하고 위증이 있다는 야당의원들의 고함과 이나지해 방어오고 동소에 소란이 끊어져 모두 7여 차례이 | 354 |
| 기지원왕 물란묘부 응극에 오린이 は감값이 들어져 모두 7여 지네기 정회가 거듭되다. 결국 밤 12시를 넘김으로써 전씨가 의사당을 떠나, | 355 |
| 청문회는 전씨의 구두 답변을 다 듣지 못한 재 끝나고 말았다. | 356 |
| 1. Sin-Ko Detection | 357 |
| 오전(Sin-Ko) 10시7분 증인(Sin-Ko)선서(Sin-Ko) 낭독(Sin-Ko)으로 | 358 |
| 시작(Sin-Ko) 된 전 전대통령(Sin-Ko)의 증언(Sin-Ko)은 5공비리, 광주(Sin- Ko) 문제(Sin Ko)에 과한 탄병(Sin Ko) 속에 저녁까지 계속(Sin Ko)됐다. | 359 |
| 그러나 증언(sin-Ko)도중 증언(sin-Ko)내용(sin-Ko)이 부실(sin-Ko)하고 | 360 |
| 위승[Sin-Ko]이 있다는 아킹[Sin-Ko]의원[Sin-Ko]들의 고맙[Sin-Ko]과 의사(Sin-Ko)진행(Sin-Ko) 발언(Sin-Ko)요구(Sin-Ko) 등속에 소란(Sin-Ko)이 | 361 |
| 끊임없이 벌어져 모두 7여 차례(Sin-Ko)의 정회(Sin-Ko)가 거듭되다. 결국(Sin-Ko) 밤 12시를 넘김으로써 전씨가 의사당(Sin-Ko)을 떠나, | 362 |
| 청문회(Sin-Ko)는 전씨의 구두(Sin-Ko) 답변(Sin-Ko)을 다 듣지 못한 채 끝나고 말았다 | 363 |
| | 364 |
| 2. Difficult Sin-Ko Word Identification | 305 |
| 오선(Easy) 10시7분 승인(Easy)선서(Easy) <u>당녹(</u> Hard)으로 시샥(Easy) 뇐 전 전대통령(Easy)의 증언(Easy)은 5공비리, 광주(Easy)문제(Easy)에 관한 | 367 |
| 답변(Easy) 속에 저녁까지 계속(Easy)됐다. 그러나 증언(Easy) 도중 증언(Fasy) 내용(Fasy) 이 부실(Fasy) 하고 위증(Hard) 이 있다는 | 363 |
| 야 당 (Easy) 의 원 (Easy) 들 의 <u>고 함</u> (Hard) 과 | 369 |
| 국지 (Easy) 한 강(Easy) 할 한 (Easy) 표구(Easy) 강 중 에 <u>또는 (</u> Haid) 이 끊임없이 벌어져 모두 7여 차례(Easy)의 정회(Easy)가 거듭되다. | 370 |
| 결국(Easy) 맘 12시들 딤김으로써 전씨가 의사당(Easy)을 떠나, 청문회(Easy)는 전씨의 구두(Easy) 답변(Easy)을 다 듣지 못한 채 끝나고 | 371 |
| 말았다. | 372 |
| 3. Difficult Sin-Ko Word Mapping | 373 |
| 오전(Easy) 10시7분 증인(Easy)선서(Easy) <u>낭독</u> (湖疆, 소리를 높이어 밝게 | 374 |
| 읽음)으로 시작(Easy) 된 전 전대통령(Easy)의 증언(Easy)은 5공비리, 광주(Easy)문제(Easy)에 관한 답변(Easy) 속에 저녁까지 계속(Easy)됐다. | 375 |
| 그러나 충언(Easy)보충 중언(Easy)내용(Easy)이 부실(Easy)하고 <u>위충(</u>) 위한 | 376 |
| 거짓으로 응용함. 포는 그런 증거(에 있다는 아이(clasy) Det(clasy) = 그는 (tasy) 북용 치면서 여러 사람이 함께 큰 소리를 지를과 의사(clasy) 진행(clasy) 발언(clasy) | 377 |
| 요구(Easy)등옥에 <u>소단(</u> 職亂)이 끊임없이 일어져 모두 7여 사례(Easy)의 정회(Easy)가 거듭되다. 결국(Easy) 밤 12시를 넘김으로써 전씨가 | 378 |
| 의사당(Easy)을 떠나, 청문회(Easy)는 전씨의 구두(Easy) 답변(Easy)을 다 듣지 못한 채 끝나고 말았다. | 379 |
| | 380 |
| Figure 1. The process of Sino-Korean detection | 38 I 290 |
| and definition mapping with a sample paragraph. | 302 |
| | 384 |
| | 385 |
| | 386 |
| | 387 |
| | 388 |
| | 389 |
| | 390 |
| | 391 |
| | 392 |
| | 393 |
| | 394 |
| | 395 |
| | 396 |
| | 397 |
| | 398 |
| | 388 |
| | |